

# WASHINGTON ECONOMIC JUSTICE ALLIANCE LEWPRO Alignment proposal

**Recap April:** begin with recap of April meeting including summarizing Miro Board activity. Roll up Miro Board into themes

### What does adoption of the 10-year plan look like for LEWPRO?

- 1. Reporting
  - a. Poverty rates (detailed think EJA dashboard, WF Performance reporting)
- 2. Connecting to areas of priority (connect to AATs)
  - a. Legislative strategy
  - b. Connecting to action
  - c. Aligning areas of priority
- 2. Organized action bring the work of AAT's through EJA and SubCabinet and connect with LEWPRO (and vice versa)

## How will LEWPRO fit / align / work with its advisory board and EJA?

- 1. Center lived experience
- 2. Intentional alignment (need to help them understand how visualize it)
- 3. Shared vision with accountability (see reporting above?)
- 4. Relational build intentional relationships (consider revising statute re: voting)
- 5. Center LEWPRO meetings around plan strategies?

#### What is LEWPRO's Role?

- 1. Develop / support a legislative strategy in support of 10-year plan including common messaging and broader communication and support
- 2. Amplify voice of IPAG and its steering committee
- 3. Participate and engage
  - a. At meetings
  - b. With AAT
  - c. With IPAG and steering committee
- 4. Support accountability
  - a. engage in performance review
  - b. work in alignment to support implementation of recommendations / strategies
  - c. act in support of those furthest from opportunity
- 5. Activate Agency Leadership including ensuring 10-year plan is part of agency strategic plans, policy agendas and priorities.

#### How do we create more meaningful meetings?

1. Action - see above - consider performance outcome reporting that moves to action





















- 2. Connect pieces of plan to legislative member agendas
- 3. Connect pieces of the plan to agency scope of business
- 4. Ensure equity is centered in the work
- 5. Restructure meetings
  - a. Prioritize meeting topics that will impact the work (WEJA / 10 year plan)
  - b. Reduce information sharing / report outs using these strategically and connect them to action
- 6. Clear rules of order and clear process guidance for proposing and adopting actions / recommendations

**Options for consideration:** Lay out options for LEWPRO discussion, consideration and decision.

## Option 1 - full alignment:

- 1. Revise LEWPRO statute:
  - a. Voting members
  - b. Update membership criteria (both TF and IPAG)
  - c. Update Duties
- 2. Restructure meeting format
  - a. Opening
  - b. Business (consent agenda)
  - c. Align topic areas to plan strategies
    - i. AAT updates / action requests
    - ii. SubCabinet Update/action requests
    - iii. IPAG/SC update / action requests
    - iv. Align members to AAT teams
- 3. Develop annual legislative agenda for LEWPRO support
- 4. Pros / Cons

Pro	Con
Fully aligns LEWPRO with WEJA work	Opening the statute creates risk
Statute supports the alignment –	Expands currently voting structure (8 leg members/8 executive branch) which allows for a balance between executive and legislative branch
Expands voting voice to current non-voting members	
Creates clarity within executive and legislative branch as to how these groups work together	



















Focuses LEWPRO actions based on the	
priorities established by the TF and its	
advisory council	

## Option 2 - Aligned within existing infrastructure

- 1. No / limited revision of LEWPRO statute
- 2. Restructure meeting format (as above)
- 3. Develop annual legislative agenda for LEWPRO support
- 4. Pros/Cons

Pro	Con
No risk re: opening statute	Continues confusion re: LEWPRO's role in Poverty Work
Focuses LEWPRO actions based on the priorities established by the TF and its advisory council	Creates a statutorily required structure that doesn't align with desired results – potential risk?
Maintains current voting structure	Maintains current voting structure

## Option 3: Status Quo:

- 1. Make no changes
  - a. let 5 year plan sunset
  - b. continue TANF oversight
  - c. continue information sharing

#### 2. Pros/Cons

Pro	Con
No risk re: opening statute	Continues confusion re: LEWPRO's role in
	Poverty Work
	Doesn't support desired result – alignment
Maintains current voting structure	Maintains current voting structure
	Doesn't move to action

















